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**Aim.** The purpose of the work is to reveal the features of CHB without liver cirrhosis when assessing the need for the appointment of antiviral therapy for the implementation of the State Target Program; to compare the criteria for monitoring CHB patients without cirrhosis in existing international recommendations.

**Material and methods.** When assessing the features of the course of CHB in 286 patients without cirrhosis, the recommendations of NICE (2013) and WHO (2015) were taken into account to determine the need for antiviral treatment, which is the basis of the clinical protocol in Ukraine. The work analyzes the international guidelines for monitoring CHB patients without cirrhosis: the American Association for the Study of the Liver Diseases (2016), the European Association for the Study of the Liver (2012), the National institute for Health and Care Excellence (2013), World Health Organization (2015).

**Results.** In the work, we analyzed the features of the course of CHB without cirrhosis using criteria for the distribution of patients depending on the indications for antiviral treatment, which are set out in the clinical protocol in Ukraine and are based on the international recommendations of NICE (2013) and WHO (2015). It is shown that 8.0 % (23 of 286) of patients require priority antiviral treatment according to laboratory criteria. The course of CHB in these patients is characterized by more frequent astheno-vegetative manifestations, more pronounced cytolytic syndrome and more frequent detection of HBeAg. Among 38.1 % (109 of 286) patients with CHB without cirrhosis with a low viral load <2000 IU/ml, which is not recommended for antiviral drugs, one third has cytolytic syndrome (34.3 %), one tenth is HBeAg-positive (10.5 %), and one fourth has liver fibrosis of F 2–3 stages (27.3 %), which requires an improvement in the monitoring of these patients. The analysis of international recommendations showed complex and different approaches to monitoring CHB patients without cirrhosis of the liver when deciding on the appointment of antiviral treatment. The AASLD recommendations (2016) differ from the others in the mandatory determination of the patient’s HBeAg status and the clearly established norm of ALT. The EASL (2012) recommendations do not require mandatory determination of HBeAg status, with the main criterion being the amount of HBV-DNA in the blood. NICE recommendations (2013) determine the mandatory identification of a combination of factors in addressing this issue, taking into account the patient’s age, viral load, the severity of the cytolytic syndrome. WHO recommendations (2015) are the most adapted for countries with limited resources.

**Conclusion.** When using the recommendations of NICE 2013 and WHO 2015 among 38.1 % of CHB patients without cirrhosis with a low viral load <2000 IU/ml, which is not recommended for antiviral therapy, 34.3 % have cytolytic syndrome, 10.5 % are HBeAg-positive, and 27.3 % have liver fibrosis F 2–3 stages, which makes it necessary to improve monitoring of these patients. Monitoring CHB patients without cirrhosis in determining the need for antiviral treatment in the world remains a complex issue and has no unambiguous approaches to the solution, as evidenced by the existence of several international clinical recommendations: AASLD 2016, EASL 2012, NICE 2013, WHO 2015.
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Моніторинг больных хроническим гепатитом В без цирроза печени при определении тактики лечения

Е. В. Рябоконь, А. Б. Хелемендик, Ю. Ю. Рябоконь

Цель работы – установить особенности хронического гепатита В (ХГВ) без цирроза печени при оценке необходимости назначения противовирусной терапии в рамках выполнения Государственной целевой программы и сопоставить критерии мониторинга больных ХГВ без цирроза печени в существующих международных рекомендациях.


Результаты. Проанализировали особенности течения ХГВ без цирроза печени при использовании критериев распределения больных в зависимости от показаний к противовирусному лечению, которые изложены в клиническом протоколе в Украине и базируются на международных рекомендациях NICE (2013) и WHO (2015). Показано, что 8,0 % (23 из 286) пациентов требуют первоочередного назначения противовирусного лечения по совокупности лабораторных критериев. Течение ХГВ у этих пациентов характеризуется более частыми астено-вегетативными проявлениями, более выраженным цитолитическим синдромом и более частым обнаружением HBeAg. Среди 38,1 % (109 из 286) больных ХГВ без цирроза печени с низкой вирусной нагрузкой (<2000 IU/ml), которым назначение противовирусных препаратов не рекомендуется, каждый третий имеет цитолитический синдром (34,3 %), каждый десятый HBeAg-позитивный (10,5 %), а каждый четвертый имеет фиброз печени F 2–3 стадий (27,3 %), что требует усовершенствования мониторинга именно этих пациентов. Анализ международных рекомендаций показал сложные и различные подходы к мониторингу больных ХГВ без цирроза печени при решении вопроса о назначении противовирусного лечения. Рекомендации AASLD (2016) отличаются от других обязательных определения HBeAg-статуса пациента и четко установленной нормой АлАТ. Рекомендации EASL (2012) не требуют обязательного определения HBeAg-статуса, при этом главным критерием выделяется количественное содержание HBV-DNA в крови. Рекомендации NICE (2013) предполагают обязательное установление комбинации определенных факторов при решении данного вопроса с учетом возраста пациента, вирусной нагрузки, выраженности цитолитического синдрома. Рекомендации WHO (2015) являются наиболее адаптированными для стран с ограниченными ресурсами.

Выводы. При использовании в Украине рекомендаций NICE 2013 и WHO 2015 среди 38,1 % больных ХГВ без цирроза печени с низкой вирусной нагрузкой (<2000 IU/ml), которым назначение противовирусной терапии не рекомендуется, 34,3 % имеют цитолитический синдром, 10,5 % HBeAg-позитивные, а 27,3 % имеют фиброз печени F 2–3 стадий, что обусловливает необходимость усовершенствования мониторинга именно этих пациентов. Мониторинг больных ХГВ без цирроза печени при решении вопроса о противовирусном лечении в мире остается проблемным и не имеет однозначных подходов к решению, что подтверждается существованием нескольких международных клинических рекомендаций: AASLD 2016, EASL 2012, NICE 2013, WHO 2015.
these patients in the world is difficult and has no unambiguous approaches to the solution. Currently, there are various international clinical recommendations for the selection of patients with CHB for antiviral therapy (AVT), namely the recommendations of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, World Health Organization [7,9–11].

In Ukraine, viral hepatitis occupies one of the dominant places in the structure of infectious pathology due to the degree of negative impact on the health of the population and the extent of the incidence. Thus, in 2012, 20,346 patients with CHB (including the first diagnosis of 3,245 in their lives) were registered in the State Healthcare Center of Ukraine Ministry of Health Statistics; 19,459 and 3,084 patients were registered respectively in 2014. From 2013, the State Target Program for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of viral hepatitis [8] has been implemented in Ukraine, for which the clinical protocol for monitoring and treatment of patients with CHB was created and subsequently updated [12]. The clinical guidelines outlined in the clinical protocol are based on the International Recommendations NICE (2013) and WHO (2015).

**Aim of the work**

To determine the features of the course of chronic hepatitis B without liver cirrhosis in assessing the necessity of appointing an antiviral treatment within the framework of the State Target Program and to compare the criteria for monitoring patients with chronic hepatitis B without liver cirrhosis in existing international recommendations.

**Material and methods**

286 adult patients with CHB without liver cirrhosis were examined in the hepatological center of the Communal Institution «Regional Infectious Clinical Hospital of Zaporizhzhya Regional Council». Men were 163 (56.9 %), women – 123 (43.1 %). The age of the patients ranged from 18 to 71 years and amounted to 36 (31; 48) years. Duration of CHB in patients without cirrhosis of the liver from the moment of diagnosis was 7 (3; 12) years. All patients underwent a quantitative determination of HBV-DNA in the blood by polymerase chain reaction, and the activity of ALT in serum was evaluated in the dynamics of observation. In the majority of patients (213 – 74.5 %), a determination was made for serum HBeAg, in each tenth patient (34 – 11.9 %) the degree of liver fibrosis was assessed by non-invasive methods (FibroTest or elastography). Criteria for exclusion from the study were the presence of liver cirrhosis, co-infection with other hepatotropic viruses and human immunodeficiency virus. The patients were inspected with written informed consent.

In determining the characteristics of the course of CHB in patients without liver cirrhosis, we have taken into account the recommendations of NICE (2013) and WHO (2015) to assess the need for antiviral treatment (AVT), which are the basis of the clinical protocol in Ukraine [12]. According to this protocol, AVT is primarily indicated to patients with CHB without liver cirrhosis in the presence of a combination of the following factors: age up to 30 years old, viral load of HBV-DNA >20,000 IU/ml, and persistently elevated ALT activity without taking HBeAg status into account. When monitoring other patients with chronic hepatitis without cirrhosis of the liver, the main criterion for further consideration of the recommendations of etiotropic treatment is to determine the viral load with a limit of 2000 IU/ml. If patients have a HBV-DNA level >2000 IU/ml, the AVT is considered to be prescribed in the presence of moderate or over-expressed inflammatory-necrotic process and/or hepatic F2–F3 degree fibrosis. Treatment with antiviral drugs for patients with CHB insufficiency without cirrhosis of the liver with a low viral load of <2000 IU/ml is not recommended; these patients continue their dynamic observation every 6 months.

In order to find out the features of the course of CHB in patients without cirrhosis of the liver with the application of the above mentioned criteria for the selection of patients for AVT, a comparison of the main clinical, anamnestic, biochemical and virological parameters in patients with CHB of different groups was performed. Patients were divided into groups: Group I – 23 patients with a set of signs: age up to 30 years old, viral load of HBV-DNA >20,000 IU/ml, steadily increased activity of ALT; Group II – 109 patients with viral load >2000 IU/ml; Group III – 154 patients with HBV-DNA levels <2000 IU/ml.

A comparison of the criteria for monitoring CHB patients without liver cirrhosis was performed in accordance with the international recommendations of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), World Health Organization (WHO) [7,9–11].

Statistical processing of the obtained data was carried out using the prevailing base of the examined patients with CHB in the program STATISTICA® for Windows 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., No. AXXR712D833214FAN5). The research results are presented as Me (Q25; Q75). For the reliability assessment of the difference in quantitative characteristics between the samples the Mann-Whitney criterion was used, and for the qualitative characteristics – the chi-square method ($\chi^2$).

**Results**

According to the results of the comparative analysis of groups of patients with CHB without cirrhosis of the liver, depending on the availability of relevant criteria prior to the appointment of AVT, it has been established that factors such as the duration of the disease and the presence in the case of history of an earlier transmitted acute hepatitis B did not affect the level of viral load and were recorded with the same frequency among patients of the studied groups. CHB was characterized by the appearance of clinical manifestations only in a small number of patients, with statistically significant differences only occurred when comparing patients in Groups I and III. Clinical symptomatology of patients of Group I that had a combination of laboratory features for the primary appointment of AVT was characterized by a more frequent appearance of astheno-vegetative syndrome manifestation (26.1 % vs 5.8 %, $\chi^2 = 10.57, \ P < 0.01$), unlike patients with a low viral load <2000 IU/ml, for which antiviral therapy has not been indicated. In addition, the severity of the cytolytic syndrome
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Table 1. Clinical, anamnestic and biochemical parameters of patients on chronic hepatitis B without liver cirrhosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indexes</th>
<th>Patients with CHB without liver cirrhosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group I (n = 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of patients, Me (Q₂₅; Q₇₅)</td>
<td>26 (23; 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients up to 30 years old, abs (%)</td>
<td>23 (100 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute hepatitis B in case of history, abs (%)</td>
<td>3 (13.0 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of CHB, Me (Q₂₅; Q₇₅)</td>
<td>7 (2; 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints, in particular:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>general weakness</td>
<td>6 (26.1 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heaviness in the right hypochondrium</td>
<td>6 (26.1 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arthralgia</td>
<td>3 (13.0 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity of ALT, mmol/l, Me (Q₂₅; Q₇₅)</td>
<td>1.75 (1.26; 2.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable normal ALT level, abs (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase ALT to 3 norms, abs (%)</td>
<td>16 (69.6 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase ALT from 3 to 10 norms, abs (%)</td>
<td>7 (30.4 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase ALT above 10 norms, abs (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: the difference is significant (P < 0.05), compared with patients in Group I.

Table 2. Virological parameters and the degree of expressiveness of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B without liver cirrhosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indexes</th>
<th>Patients with CHB without liver cirrhosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group I (n = 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative HBV-DNA content in the blood, IU/ml</td>
<td>1.0 × 10³ (1.3 × 10³; 1.1 × 10³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg-positive patients, % (abs)</td>
<td>73.7 % (14 of 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg-negative patients, % (abs)</td>
<td>26.3 % (5 of 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver fibrosis F 0–1, % (abs)</td>
<td>75.0 % (3 of 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver fibrosis F 2–3, % (abs)</td>
<td>25.0 % (1 of 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: the difference is significant (P < 0.05), compared with patients in Group I. **: the difference is significant (P < 0.05), compared with patients in Group II.

was higher in patients of Group I compared to patients in Groups II and III, primarily due to the higher proportion of patients with elevated ALT activity from 3 to 10 norms. When comparing the clinical and laboratory parameters of the CHB in patients without liver cirrhosis of the Group II, for which the issue of the appointment of AVT should be considered, and those in Group III, for which etiotropic treatment is not recommended, there are no statistically significant differences in the studied parameters, however, among patients in Group III 34.3 % (53 out of 154) had elevated ALT activity in the blood (Table 1).

In the analysis of virological parameters in patients with CHB without cirrhosis of the liver, the differences in the viral load of the studied groups proved to be logical, since the level of increase of HBV-DNA in blood was one of the criteria for the formation of patient groups. However, it should be noted that the rate of detection of positive HBeAg in serum was related to the level of viral load and was the highest in patients of Group I, compared with patients in Group III (73.7 % vs 10.5 %, χ² = 41.31, P < 0.0001), and with patients in Group II (73.7 % vs 22.5 %, χ² = 18.38, P < 0.001). In addition, a statistically significant difference was observed in the incidence of HBeAg-positive patients and in the comparison of Groups II and III (22.5 % vs 10.5 %, χ² = 5.16, P < 0.01). However, in the presence of clear statistically significant differences in the virological parameters in the patients of the studied groups, the proportion of patients with different stages of liver fibrosis was the same in patients with CHB without cirrhosis of the liver of the studied groups (Table 2).

Taking into account that among patients in Group III, which is not recommended for AVT, every third had laboratory signs of cytolytic syndrome – 34.3 % (53 of 154), every tenth patient was HBeAg-positive – 10.5 % (12 of 114), and more than one in four had liver fibrosis F 2–3 degrees – 27.3 % (3 of 11), existing criteria for monitoring patients with chronic hepatitis without cirrhosis of the liver require further improvement.

Discussion

Existing International Recommendations [7,9–11] demonstrate rather complicated and different approaches to monitoring CHB patients without cirrhosis of the liver when deciding on the appointment of AVT. It should be noted that all the International recommendations have the same approach only for patients with HBV-associated cirrhosis of the liver. Namely, in the presence of cirrhosis of the liver both compensated and decompensated, when detected in the blood of HBV-DNA, regardless of its quantitative content, nucleoside analogues based on tenofovir or entecavir are assigned.

The most difficult is monitoring of patients with CHB without liver cirrhosis using the recommendations of the AASLD (2016) [9]. These recommendations differ from other mandatory definitions of HBeAg-status of patients and clearly defined levels of ALT activity, which should be considered as the norm for males and females, respectively, different from the reference values of laboratories. According to the recommendations of AASLD (2016), when monitoring CHB patients, the HBeAg status of the patient, the level of ALT activity in the serum, the level of viral load and, in some cases, the results of the morphological examination of the liver, are to be taken into account in order
In the blood is a key criterion for addressing the issue of AVT HBV-DNA >2000 IU/ml, preserving necrosis-inflammatory cases, HBeAg-negative CHB is characterized by levels of the natural course of HBV infection has not yet been recorded in Asia and Southern Europe, which also explains due to mutation of the pre-core/core site, but retained high replicative activity. Often, these mutations are associated with genotypes C and D HBV, which are more commonly recorded in Asia and Southern Europe, which also explains the more frequent registrations of HBeAg-negative HCV in patients from these areas. The effect of this mutation on the natural course of HBV infection has not yet been fully understood, but there are reports of more aggressive course of the disease in these patients [13,17]. In most cases, HBeAg-negative CHB is characterized by levels of HBV-DNA >2000 IU/ml, preserving necrosis-inflammatory changes in the liver and instability of the course [18].

The determination of the quantitative HBV-DNA content in the blood is a key criterion for addressing the issue of AVT in patients with CHB without liver cirrhosis in EASL (2012) recommendations, which do not imply the mandatory determination of HBeAg status in addressing this issue. According to these guidelines, AVT should be prescribed to patients with a viral load >2000 IU/ml, signs of severe necrotic-inflammatory activity of the pathological process in the liver and/or elevated ALT above the upper limit of normal. At the same time, if patients with CHB without clinical and laboratory signs of liver cirrhosis have a combination of such factors as the level of viral load >20000 IU/ml and increased activity of ALT more than twice the upper limit of norm, AVT should be prescribed without biopsy results liver. The EASL Recommendations (2012) also allow the physician to choose an antiviral agent between the pegylated interferon and the nucleoside analogue (tenofovir or entecavir). According to these recommendations, the continued reduction of HBV-DNA in the blood to the smallest <2000 IU/ml, ideally even <60 IU/ml, is a satisfactory end-result, since it is clearly associated with improved prognosis [7].

The international recommendations of NICE (2013) on monitoring CHB without cirrhosis of the liver for the mandatory determination of certain combinations of factors when addressing the issue of the appointment of AVT, namely: the age of patients >30 years, in which the viral load reaches >2000 IU/ml in combination with increased activity of ALT. At the same time, if the age of patients <30 years and the viral load >2000 IU/ml is combined with the increased level of activity of ALT, it is necessary to take into account the degree of severity of necrotic-inflammatory changes and the stage of liver fibrosis [11]. However, if the level of HBV-DNA >2000 IU/ml in the blood is combined with pronounced inflammatory and fibrotic changes in the liver, AVT should be prescribed without considering the age of the patients and the level of ALT activity. In these recommendations, there is another indication for the appointment of AVT in patients with CHB without cirrhosis of the liver, namely, in the presence of a viral load >20000 IU/ml, in conjunction with an increase in the activity of ALT in the blood, the age of the patients and the results of liver biopsy do not matter.

Unlike other International Protocols, NICE recommendations (2013) clearly define antiviral drugs for the first and the second lines. For the treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis without liver cirrhosis, the first line drug should be pegylated interferon-g2a with a fixed duration of treatment for 48 weeks. The anti-viral agents of the second line are nucleoside analogues based on tenofovir or entecavir.

In 2015, WHO new recommendations were published on monitoring and treatment of patients with CHB, which are most adapted for countries with limited resources [10]. According to these recommendations, for patients with CHB in the absence of clinical manifestations of liver cirrhosis, older than 30 years of age, having stable ALT activity and viral load levels above >20000 IU/ml, AVT should be prescribed. WHO recommendations (2015) have another provision for the appointment of AVT in patients without liver cirrhosis, especially if the definition of HBV-DNA is inaccessible, the appointment of AVT is possible for all patients with elevated ALT activity. According to the WHO protocol (2015), only nucleoside analogues with high resistance barrier (tenofovir or entecavir) are recommended for antiviral therapy, which effectively inhibit the replication of HBV-DNA but are not capable of causing elimination of
the pathogen as it is not possible to affect the covalently closed circular RNA in a nucleus that is a matrix in the transcription of viral RNA, in connection with which treatment is long and potentially life-long.

Unlike other International Recommendations, the WHO protocol [15] does not even consider the possibility of treating CHB patients with pegylated interferons, but the final part of this document focuses on certain benefits of such treatment, namely the fixed duration of treatment and the likely higher rate of HBsAg. The pegylated interferons were excluded from the consideration in these guidelines, since their use is less feasible under the conditions of limited resources (higher cost of treatment and laboratory control).

Conclusions

1. In the monitoring of patients with CHB without liver cirrhosis, only 8.0 % (23 of 286) require prior appointment of antiviral therapy in combination of laboratory features (age up to 30 years, HBV-DNA >20000 IU/ml, steady-increased activity of ALT) according to the existing clinical protocol in Ukraine established on the basis of the recommendations of NICE 2013 and WHO 2015. The course of CHB in these patients is characterized by more frequent astheno-vegetative syndrome manifestation, a higher severity of the cytolitic syndrome and the most frequent circulation of HBeAg in the blood.

2. Among 38.1 % (109 of 286) patients with CHB without cirrhosis of the liver with a low viral load <2000 IU/ml, for which the purpose of AVT is not recommended, every third has cytolitic syndrome (34.3 %), one of ten has HBeAg-positive (10.5 %), and one of four has liver fibrosis F 2–3 degrees (27.3 %), which requires further improvement of monitoring of these patients.

3. Monitoring CHB patients without liver cirrhosis in solving the issue of the appointment of antiviral treatment in the world remains a rather complicated issue and does not have unambiguous decision-making approaches, confirming the existence of several International Clinical Recommendations (AASLD 2016, EASL 2012, NICE 2013, WHO 2015).
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