Evaluation of Tru-Cut biopsy in differential diagnosis and challenges in breast adenoid cystic carcinoma on a case basis
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Adenoid cystic carcinoma (AdCC) is a very rare low-grade invasive carcinoma primarily in the breast. The fact that similar patterns can be observed in invasive breast carcinomas (IBC) such as AdCC and breast ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) in Tru-Cut biopsies creates difficulties in the differential diagnosis.

**Case presentation.** A 70-year-old female patient was admitted to our outpatient clinic with the complaint of a palpable mass in the left breast subareolar region. In the light microscopy examination of 3 tissue samples with a size of 3 measurements, IBC-like tubular structures, some of which were formed by tiny uniform cells with narrow cytoplasm, and some with eosinophilic material, and solid nest structures suggesting DCIS in focal areas were observed.

Immunohistochemical examination showed continuity of myoepithelial cells with p63 and calponin, and epithelial cells, staining with Cd117 and CK7. No staining was observed with progesterone, estrogen, and Cerbb2. The staining rate of Ki-67 was determined as 2 percent. A diagnosis of AdCC was made with these findings.

**Conclusions.** It is important to search for different patterns in addition to double cell population and pay attention to the material in the lumens in breast Tru-Cut biopsies that contain AdCC patterns that may cause the diagnosis of IBC and DCIS in error.
Fig. 1. IBC-like tubular structures and pink eosinophilic material in some part of the lumen. HE ×200.

Fig. 2. DCIS-like pattern. HE ×200.

Fig. 3. Myoepithelial cells interspersed in the basal layer and locally in epithelial cells. p63 ×200.

Fig. 4. Myoepithelial cells interspersed in the basal layer and locally in epithelial cells. Calponin ×200.

Fig. 5. Diffuse staining of Cd117 epithelial cells. Cd117 ×200.

Fig. 6. Staining pattern of Ki-67. Ki-67 ×200.
In this article, the differential diagnosis of breast AdCCs with IBC and DCIS, and histopathological and immunohistochemical clues in a case of breast AdCC are discussed within the literature.

Case presentation
A 70-year-old female patient was admitted to our outpatient clinic with the complaint of a palpable mass in the left breast subareolar region. A hard fixed mass was detected in this area on physical examination. Mammography showed a smooth contoured opacity 1 cm in diameter in the subareolar region of the left breast and ultrasonography showed a hypoechoic solid mass (BIRADS 4) at a distance of 5 cm from the left breast areola. A Tru-Cut biopsy was performed. The largest is 0.6 × 0.1 × 0.1 cm, the smallest is 0.2 × 0.1 × 0.1 cm.

In the light microscopy examination of 3 tissue samples with a size of 3 measurements, IBC-like tubular structures (Fig. 1), some of which were formed by tiny uniform cells with narrow cytoplasm, and some with eosinophilic material, and solid nest structures suggesting DCIS in focal areas were observed (Fig. 2).

In addition, cribriform structures with mixed material in their lumens were observed in several areas. Immunohistochemical examination showed continuity of myoepithelial cells with p63 (Fig. 3) and calponin (Fig. 4). Diffuse staining was observed in epithelial cells with Cd117 (Fig. 5), while CK7 focal staining was observed. No staining was observed with progesterone, estrogen, and CerbB2. The staining rate of Ki-67 was determined as 2 percent (Fig. 6). A diagnosis of AdCC was made with these findings.

Written consent from patient was taken.

Discussion
Breast AdCC differs significantly from breast IBC and DCIS in terms of treatment and prognosis [4]. Therefore, diagnosis and differential diagnosis with Tru-Cut biopsy is important. There are difficulties in differential diagnosis with Tru-Cut biopsy. At this point, histological and immunohistochemical clues can be guiding. In our experience, histologically solid and tubular patterns in large areas are indeed a problem in Tru-Cut biopsy materials and may cause the misdiagnosis of IBC or DCIS. At first sight, tissue samples with a large solid and tubular pattern should be carefully examined for further trabecular or cribriform patterns. In addition, a double cell population consisting of basaloïd cells located in the basal of epithelial cells with large cytoplasm indicates AdCC [1]. Eosinophilic and/or myxoid material in tubular structures or lumens of cribriform structures is an important clue for AdCC for differential diagnosis from IBC and DCIS. In addition, in histochemical staining, the eosinophilic material is stained pink with alcian blue and PAS, and the myxoid material in blue [1].

As another histopathological finding, perineural invasion is a valuable finding in breast AdCCs [1]. In case of perineural invasion, the diagnosis of AdCC should be considered. Immunohistochemically, myoepithelial cell markers such as p63 and calponin provide important helpful findings [1]. While IBC myoepithelial cells are not observed with markers such as p63 and calponin, continuity can be observed around the ducts in DCIS, but as in our case, myoepithelial cells are observed as embedded in epithelial cells in AdCCs. Cd117 is highly sensitive for AdCC in epithelial cells [1]. For this reason, it is a point to be considered that it should be added to the routine as a marker, especially in breast Tru-Cut biopsies with tubular or solid patterns. In addition, CK7 stains epithelial cells while myoepithelial cells do not [5]. This finding is valuable for understanding the dual cell population. In AdCCs staining with estrogen-progesterone and cerbB2 is not expected [6].

It should be kept in mind that similar staining characteristics can be observed in some DCIS and triple-negative IBC, although it is a finding in favor of AdCC. The very low numbers of mitoses and staining pattern of Ki-67 may also indicate AdCC [1].

It is a helpful finding in the differential diagnosis of high-grade DCIS and IBC, but this is not the same for low-grade DCIS and well-differentiated IBC. MYB and SOX 10 are markers whose sensitivity and specificity have been reported for recently studied AdCCs but require further studies [5,7].

Conclusions
In conclusion, it is important to search for different patterns in addition to double cell population and pay attention to the material in the lumens in breast Tru-Cut biopsies that contain AdCC patterns that may cause the diagnosis of IBC and DCIS in error. However, in cases that cannot be resolved, using at least one myoepithelial marker and epithelial markers such as Cd117 and CK7 will be helpful in the immunohistochemical staining routine.
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